

Grading Criteria

Submissions will be graded using the below modified Banfield grading criteria:

Category	Score (circle)	
A. Importance		
High	4	
Moderate	3	
Limited	2	
Low	1	
B. Focus (ease of answering; PICO)		
Clearly focused and well-formed clinical question/PICO	4	
Adequately focused question /PICO	3	
Somewhat unfocused question / PICO	2	
Inadequately focused question/PICO	1	
Literature search	1 -	
C. Search process (including key words and databases used)		
Search process is of high quality with regard to being	4	
explicit, repeatable, and appropriate		
Search process is of adequate quality with regard to being	3	
explicit, repeatable, and appropriate		
Search process is of sub-optimal quality with regard to	2	
being explicit, repeatable, and appropriate		
The search process is unlikely to yield appropriate papers	1	
D. Appropriateness of evidence identified for inclusion		
The reports included are highly relevant (best evidence	4	
available to answer the question)		
All reports are included	3	
Effort was made to include only certain reports, but not	2	
the best ones to answer the question		
The evidence selected does not answer the question	1	
Critical appraisal		
E. Appropriateness (thoroughness) of appraisal		
Appraisal criteria used are appropriate and well addressed	4	
Appraisal criteria used are mostly appropriate but some	3	
aspects were not evaluated		
Appraisal criteria used are somewhat appropriate but key	2	
aspects were missed		
Appraisal criteria are inappropriate	1	
F. Appraisal accuracy		
Evidence is appropriately and succinctly summarised	4	
Evidence is appropriately summarised for the most part,	3	
but the summary requires minor revision		
Appraisal contains some misinterpretations, but is	2	
sufficiently summarised		
Appraisal contains several misinterpretations	1	
G. Conclusion (answer to the clinical question)		
An appropriate, clinically relevant conclusion is clearly	4	
stated		
A somewhat clinically relevant but appropriate conclusion	3	
is clearly stated		
A somewhat inappropriate conclusion is stated	2	
A conclusion is not made or is inappropriate	1	

RCVS Knowledge is a registered Charity No. 230886. Registered as a Company limited by guarantee in England and Wales No. 598443 Belgravia House 62 – 64 Horseferry Road London SW1P 2AF

H. Language, spelling, grammar	
Professional use of language, accurate spelling, correct use of grammar	2
Adequate use of language, some spelling errors, some incorrect use of grammar	1
Poor use of language, many spelling errors, poor use of grammar	0
TOTAL REVIEWER SCORE (Max 30)	

Modified from Reviewer Grading Criteria for Banfield Journal CAT Submissions

RCVS Knowledge is a registered Charity No. 230886. Registered as a Company limited by guarantee in England and Wales No. 598443 Belgravia House 62 – 64 Horseferry Road London SW1P 2AF

T: +44 (0) 20 7202 0752

E: <u>www.rcvsknowledge.org</u>